

WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/773,308 · 06530.0294-01 02/09/2004 Ronald D. Adams 6647 22852 06/15/2005 **EXAMINER** FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER DAWSON, GLENN K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW

3731

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ç
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,308	ADAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Glenn K. Dawson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rry (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 February 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-32</u> is/are pending in the appli	· ,	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 18-32 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	prionty documents have been	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-9-04;10-20-04.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the specification does not provide antecedent basis for the jaws of the tissue grasping device being operable "independently" of the tissue displacement device.

There are no embodiments disclosed that have the tissue displacement device being an anvil portion and a tissue displacement portion pivotally coupled to the anvil portion, along with the fastening device.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-22, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 18, there is no antecedent basis for "the tissue displacement portion".

In claim 28, there is no antecedent basis for "the fastener delivery device".

In claim 29, it is unclear if the fastener is being positively recited.

Art Unit: 3731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 18-23,25-27 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kortenbach-6086600.

Kortenbach discloses a device having a tube 12, a grasper-206, a tissue displacement device 40 or 42 and a fastening device 52 or 31. the grasping device grasps tissue. Arm 62 pivots and would act to displace tissue as would 42 as shown in fig. 12 and 13. a two-part fastener shown in fig. 7 and 8 is used to hold the tissue portions together for fundoplication. The barb of the tube can be element 42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,308

Art Unit: 3731

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18,20,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Harrison, et al.-5403326.

Harrison discloses a tube 80, a two-part fastener 92,94,96; a tissue displacement device 66, and anvil –other 66, and a tissue grasper 72. the device is used to perform fundoplication. However, it is not explicitly state that the tube 80 is flexible. It does state that the stapler can be passed through the esophagus. Any tube made of any material is deemed to have at least some degree of flexibility and therefore could be said to be

"flexible". However, it also would have been obvious to make it flexible enough to bend or be flexed in order to facilitate its transport down the esophagus.

Claims 18,20-23,25-27 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Laufer, et al.-6663639.

Laufer discloses a tube 290, a tissue grasper 210, a tissue displacement device-either one pivotable arm of the stapler which can use a two-part fixation device as shown in fig. 29, or 230 or 22, and an anvil portion – the other stapler arm. An endoscope can be incorporated into the device as discussed in col. 9 lines 52-63. see fig. 7,35 and 36 and accompanying description. However, it is not explicitly state that the tube is flexible. It does state that the tube is passed through the esophagus. Any tube made of any material is deemed to have at least some degree of flexibility and therefore could be said to be "flexible". However, it also would have been obvious to make it flexible enough to bend or be flexed in order to facilitate its transport down the esophagus.

Claim 24 and 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laufer, et al.-639 in view of Carroll-6066146.

Laufer discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the hypotube.

Laufer discloses that the tissue fixation device can be a t-bar suture. Carroll discloses the use of a needle-hypotube- to introduce a t-bar suture through tissue. It would have been obvious to have provided a needle through which a t-bar suture could be delivered, as merely being an obvious well-known alternative for the tissue fixation

Art Unit: 3731

device. Carroll discloses that a needle facilitates the placing of such a device and as such would have been an obvious modification in order to use the t-bar suture as the tissue fixation device. The hypotube would also act as the injection means.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest the device of claim 23 in combination with a fastener having at least one end being inflatable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 703-308-4304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,308

Art Unit: 3731

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd

13 June 2005